

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/960,481	09/24/2001	Joseph R. Byrum	38-21(15367)C	6590		
7	590 04/21/2003					
Gail Wuellner			EXAMINER			
Monsanto Company Mail Zone E2NA 800 N. Lindbergh Blvd.			BORIN, MICHAEL L			
St. Louis, MO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1631				
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/960,481

Byrum et al

Examiner Michael Bo

		Michael Borin 163		1631				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with	h the corres	spondence addre	SS			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).								
•	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if time	ну піва, таў ге	duce any	;			
Status					į			
1) 🗀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This act	tion is non-final.						
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are	pending in the	application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn fro	om consideration.			
5) 🗀	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.				
6) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected	to.			
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-7</u>	are subjec	t to restric	tion and/or elec	tion requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗆	approved	b) disapprove	ed by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) 🗆 All b) 🗆 Some* c) 🗀 None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•	this National S	tage			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
a) In the translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
_		priority under 35 U.S	.C. 99 12(Jang/or 121.				
Attachm 1) No	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PT	(O-413) Papar I	No(s)				
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Pate			}			
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:	Artenian de partir de	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

Art Unit: 1631

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-7 are currently pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1, drawn to polynucleotides, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- II. Claim 2, drawn to a purified polypeptide encoded by a polynucleotide of Group I, classified in class 530, subclass 300.
- III. Claims 3-7, drawn to a transformed plant, classified in class 800, subclass 205.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are separate and distinct because the inventions are directed to different chemical types regarding the critical limitations therein. For Group II, the critical feature is a polypeptide whereas for Group I the critical feature is a polypucleotide. It is acknowledged that various processing steps may cause a polypeptide of group II to be directed as to its synthesis by a polynucleotide of Group I, however, the completely separate chemical types of the inventions of Groups I and II supports the undue search burden if both were examined together. Additionally, polypeptides have been most commonly, albeit not always, separately characterized and published in the Biochemical literature, thus significantly adding to the search burden if examiner together, as

Art Unit: 1631

compared to being searched separately. Also, it is pointed out that processing that may connect two

groups does not prevent them from being viewed as distinct, because enough processing can result

in producing any composition from any other composition if the processing is not so limited to

additions, subtractions, enzyme actions, etc. In addition, neither the products in each Group, nor the

products of Groups I and II share a common structure which elicits a common activity as to

constitute a proper Markush listing. Accordingly, claims 1, 2 are drawn to improper generic and

Markush claims.

Inventions I/II and III are separate and distinct, as the claims of Invention I are drawn to

polynucleotides and polypeptides, while the claims of group III are drawn to a plant. These are

differing biochemical entities having differing biochemical properties, structures and effects.

Invention III would require searching in areas unrelated to polynucleotides and polypeptides, and as

such, would require an undue burden on the examiner if not restricted.

Sequence Election Requirement Applicable to All Groups

In addition, each Group detailed above reads on patentably distinct sequences. Each sequence

is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, and a further restriction is applied to each

Group. For an elected Group drawn to amino acid sequences, the Applicants must further elect a

single amino acid sequence. For an elected Group drawn to nucleotide sequences, the Applicants are

permitted to elect up to 10 nucleic acid sequences (See MPEP 803.04).

MPEP 803.04 states:

Art Unit: 1631

Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq. Nevertheless, to further aid the biotechnology industry in protecting its intellectual property without creating an undue burden on the Office, the Commissioner has decided sua sponte to partially waive the requirements of 37 CFR 1.141 et seq. and permit a reasonable number of such nucleotide sequences to be claimed in a single application. See Examination of Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequences, 1192 O.G. 68 (November 19, 1996).

It has been determined that normally ten sequences constitute a reasonable number for examination purposes. Accordingly, in most cases, up to ten independent and distinct nucleotide sequences will be examined in a single application without restriction. In addition to the specifically selected sequences, those sequences which are patentably indistinct from the selected sequences will also be examined. Furthermore, nucleotide sequences encoding the same protein are not considered to be independent and distinct inventions and will continue to be examined together.

Examination will be restricted only to a Group drawn to elected sequences.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and the necessity for non-coextensive literature searches restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Art Unit: 1631

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee

required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Michael Borin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4506. Dr. Borin can

normally be reached between the hours of 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. EST Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor

Mr. Michael Woodward, can be reached at (703) 308-4028. The fax telephone number for this group

is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating the status of this application should be directed

to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

April 16, 2003

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

mlb